

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/613,774		SCHMIDT ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jared J. Fureman		2876	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO).

(2) Mr. Thomas J. Perkowski (33,134).

Date of Interview: 7 November 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:50 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

128, 147 and 148

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Perkowski authorized an examiner's amendment to claims 128 (in order to provide consistent claim terminology), 147 and 148 (in order to change the claim dependency and therefore provide proper antecedent basis for "said bar code symbol").

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JARED J. FUREMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)